

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pul

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,559	07/31/2003	Mark A. Etter	JK01477B	2588
28268	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
	K & DECKER CORPO	RAO, SHEELA S		
701 EAST JOPPA ROAD, TW199 TOWSON, MD 21286			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2125	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/632,559	ETTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sheela Rao	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 J</u>	ulv 2003				
, ,	s action is non-final.	•			
,					
closed in accordance with the practice under the					
Disposition of Claims					
· _		·			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election-requirement.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	, er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<del></del>			
application from the International Burea	•	od III uno Mattorial Otago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
oce the attached detailed office action for a list	of the defined copies not reserve				
		,			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,559

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-18 are pending and presented for examination.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to a power tool control system, classified in class 700, subclass 159/160.
  - II. Claims 7-11 and 12-14, drawn to a table saw and angle/height indication assembly, classified in class 83, subclass 471/477.
  - III. Claims 15-18, drawn to a graphical user interface for a measurement and alignment device, classified in class 715, subclass 700.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the table saw assembly (Group II) and/or the measurement and alignment device (Group III) do not need the power tool control system for operating protocols. The subcombination has separate utility such that the table saw and measurement and alignment device have functionality of their own and are well known tool assemblies
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday Wednesday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax number for the organization where this application or any proceeding papers is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. It should be noted that status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/632,559

**Art Unit: 2125** 

Page 4

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela S. Rao May 24, 2006

> ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER